

Interview Summary	Application No.		Applicant(s)	
	10/524,452		SHIRAISHI ET AL.	
	Examiner		Art Unit	
	Celia Chang		1625	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Celia Chang. (3) _____.

(2) Lisa Swiszczy Hazzard (left message). (4) _____.

Date of Interview: 01 and 10 Sep 2009.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference
c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.
If Yes, brief description: _____.

Claim(s) discussed: 32.

Identification of prior art discussed: n/a.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☒ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: claim 32 (renumbered claim 8) depended on canceled claim 31 which is now amended to be dependent on claim 28 (renumbered as claim 6) with the term "hormone resistant cancer is" replaced with --agonizing is in--.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

ATTACHMENT: corrected Ex. Amend.	/Celia Chang/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1625
----------------------------------	--